

English Defence League Worcester demo, 1 September 2018 - Media briefing



The English Defence League will be demonstrating including speeches to the public in Worcester, on Saturday 1 September 2018. This return to Worcester after our thwarted demonstration of 21 July 2018 will demonstrate our resolve to express our concerns in the local and national arenas.*

We are demonstrating about the proposal to build yet another mosque - *in an English Cathedral town*. And also about the continuing outrageous sexual grooming and abuse of English girls by Muslim men.

- How many mosques does Worcester need? p 2
- More about Islam for the people of Worcester p 2
- The sexual grooming and abuse of mainly English girls p 4
- The root causes of the Muslim sexual grooming and abuse, p 5
- The failures of the establishment that enabled these outrages p 5
- The failure of the Muslim community to accept ownership of sexual grooming and abuse by Muslim men, p 6
- It's *not* just a few bad apples p 7
- The shielding of Muslim child abusers by the Muslim community, p 7
- What must be done? p 9

You ask: Why is the EDL speaking up in Worcester?

We answer: Because the complacency – or is it fearful wishful thinking – we see in much of Worcester needs a nudge. We aim to give the people of Worcester the opportunity to consider the implications of a new mosque and the abuse of English girls by Muslim men. That is: “To think on these things”.

In our democratic society street protests like ours are peaceful and legitimate ways to project a message. We make no apology for using all peaceful and legitimate avenues within our reach.

We are hoping to raise the awareness of Christians and we are sticking up for the girls of England – the next generation of English mothers.

* See: <http://www.englishdefenceleague.org.uk/worcester-demo-ii-september-2018/>

How many mosques does Worcester need?

No one knows how many mosques there are in England, but the figure of 2,000 is generally accepted - supplemented by madrassas, Islamic centres, Islamic community centres, schools and other places used for Islamic purposes.

In Worcester there are already four mosques: one Barelwi, two Deobandi and one Turkish.

Is the new mosque to be a Barelwi institution?

The arguments for the new mosque come from the Worcester Muslim Welfare Association, so we assume it's to be a Barelwi institution.

Ye shall know them by their fruits

Barelvis were last in the national news when a Sunni Barelwi, Tanveer Ahmad, murdered Asad Shah, an Ahmadi Muslim from Glasgow. He was murdered by the Barelwi for 'disrespecting' the Prophet of Islam, Muhammad.

Barelvis were last in the international news when one of their number, Mumtaz Qadri, gunned down Salman Taseer in Pakistan – also for blasphemy. Qadri – since executed – is the poster boy for the extremist Barelwi cause.

What can be done in Worcester?

We urge the people of Worcester to seek assurances from the Barelvis of their town that they do not harbour this sort of ill-will to their local Ahmadiyya and that they reject out of hand the murder of those who Barelvis condemn as blasphemers.

We suggest that the Barelvis of Worcester could signal their peacefulness by seeking and receiving Ahmadi support for their mosque proposal. They could also issue a clear statement condemning murder like that committed by Mumtaz Qadri to settle blasphemy disputes.

Better to be safe than sorry?

But wouldn't it be rude to ask these questions or anything like them? Yes, but not as rude as murder. Not as startling as murder in the name of religion. And not as unsettling as the implications of a refusal to give these assurances.

Sometimes blunt and direct questions are essential. Well, the English Defence League, are "breaking the ice" for the non-Muslims of Worcester by giving them these suggestions. Get answers now, before you wave through the construction of a new Barelwi HQ - even if it embarrasses you to do so.

More about Islam for the people of Worcester

"A Muslim never supports killing someone unjustly"

How often Muslims trot this out – usually when, yet again, a Muslim has killed someone. The Muslim trick lies in the Islamic interpretation of "unjustly". According to the Koran merely being a non-Muslim (aka an infidel or *kuffar*) provides many opportunities to be fought – to the death – justly:

9:29 - Fight those who do not believe in Allah

9:73 - Strive hard against the unbelievers and the hypocrites and be unyielding to them

9:123 - Fight those of the unbelievers near to you and let them find in you hardness.

Believe us, the Koran has many more justifications for Muslims to mutilate and kill non-Muslims. As Matthew's gospel observes: "*seeing, they see not, and hearing, they hear not, neither do they understand.*"

Christians in Worcester rush headlong into ignorant virtue-signalling

We read that some Church of England clergy in Worcester East Deanery backed the bid for the new mosque. The Reverend Paula Honniball and Reverend Kalantha Brewis gave their support during an interfaith Iftar at the Barelwi mosque in Tallow Hill on June 11.

The beguiling myth of interfaith fellowship with Muslims

Just what do these virtue signallers think Islam is about? They have an obligation to read the Islamic texts and satisfy themselves that they understand the meaning of the texts and how these texts are used by Muslims.

- How can interfaith services be honest when Muslims hide behind Arabic versions of their scriptures?
 - We learnt last year that Muslims simply can't be trusted when they hide behind Arabic texts: In Glasgow Cathedral a Muslim preacher went beyond the text she was scheduled to read and surreptitiously inserted Koranic verses that run counter to the biblical account, verses saying that Jesus is not the Son of God and is not to be worshipped. And that Jesus said "Allah is my Lord and your Lord, so worship Him." <https://spectator.org/jihad-in-the-cathedral/>
 - So we had a Muslim laughing up her sleeve that she had preached that Jesus was not the son of God at a Cathedral Epiphany service while naïve Anglicans beamed stupidly in approval. Of course, the Muslims involved in this sorry episode laid low afterwards and refused to explain their deception - while the dispute split Anglicans asunder. What an outcome for the Muslims and their stealth jihad!
<http://www.breitbart.com/london/2017/01/15/distress-no-apology-epiphany-service-quran-reading/bbvbb>
- How can interfaith dialogue be fair when good Muslims pray at least 17 times a day rejecting Christians and Jews as misguided and objects of Allah's wrath?
<http://blog.markdurie.com/2009/12/greatest-recitation-of-surat-al-fatiha.html>
- How can interfaith dialogue be peaceful when Muslims pray every Friday for victory over non-Muslims? (Koran 2:286)
<http://tarekfatah.com/a-two-minute-exchange-between-a-dhimmi-and-a-desi/>
- How can interfaith dialogue be reciprocal with give and take when the Koran misrepresents Jesus Christ explicitly in the most fundamental way?
 - Bishop Gavin Ashenden spoke about this last month:

*Where have you ever had a mosque where the end of Lent and the beginning of Easter, the resurrection of Jesus, have been celebrated? The Muslims are perfectly clear that to celebrate the end of Lent and the resurrection of Jesus would be to invalidate every thing that Mohammed stood for, because he puts his whole authority on telling us that God told him, through the angels, that Jesus didn't die upon the cross. There was no resurrection. Now, they know perfectly well that to invite Christians in to celebrate their feasts in a mosque would be to endorse the Christian narrative, to endorse the authority of Jesus. How is it that Christians don't understand that when they invite Islam into our holy places, we're not just being nice neighbours, we are - at least in the eyes of Islam - endorsing the Muslim narrative. Which contradicts the Jesus narrative and **it makes Jesus out to be a liar.*** <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zOieV-bJpbs>

The myth of equivalence between Christianity and Islam

In Britain many who comment on Islamic relations with other faiths treat Islam as though it's a kind of Arabic form of Judeo-Christianity. But it isn't. Chalk and cheese. They are

not symmetrical opposites; they have some enormously important differences in them and you can't lay them side by side and say "we are contrasting and comparing the similar". Don't take our word for it: Ask ex-Muslims who have converted to Christianity. And ask ex-Christians who have converted to Islam. Or ask someone like Bishop Michael Nazir-Ali who knows more about both faiths than anyone reading this will ever know.

The myth of equivalence in the example of Christ and the example of Mohammed

Again, we quote from Bishop Ashenden:

- *Many people have said "Listen, you Christians, you have no right to point the finger at Islam for being a violent religion. For goodness sake, you lot are full of violence with the things Christianity has done. How dare you point the finger at Islam for violence. Have you no shame?" But this is where, again, the symmetry breaks down. If there is a violent Christian, you can go to him and say "To be a good Christian, to be any kind of Christian at all, you must forgive your enemies and turn the other cheek. Shame on you for the violence. You must repent."*

The problem we have with Islam is, when violence breaks out, nobody can go and say "You're not following the example of Mohammed, you're not following the example of the Koran, shame on you. You must repent." Because they are following the example of Mohammed. They are imposing the Koran.

Look at what Muslims do when they have a free hand

As well as reading the texts of Islam carefully in an informed way, Christians should also look at countries where Christians and other non-Muslims are persecuted by Muslim majorities. Whenever Christians are perceived to be getting a bit uppity, say in Egypt or Pakistan, there follows a wholesale destruction of churches – and the murder of the Christians inside. It's not just Christians in the firing line – literally – it's even pagans like the Yazidis of Iraq.

Our message

Our message to the Christians of Worcester, their clergy and to the non-Muslims of Worcester is that they consider seriously the reality of Islam and ask themselves three questions:

1. Do they really want another building in their Cathedral town that is dedicated to an explicitly anti-Christian faith?
2. Do they have the courage to explore with clear minds, unencumbered by wishful thinking, the serious issues we have raised here?
3. Do they have the fortitude to stand up to the stealth jihad and say, politely but resolutely "No" to the Muslims' bid for a new mosque on Stanley Road?

We are also demonstrating about the sexual grooming and abuse of mainly English girls

Our last national demonstration on this issue was in Telford in May, following revelations by the Sunday Mirror that up to one thousand girls had been abused in and around the town. The press articles were followed by the usual expressions of dismay by the local MP (Lucy Allan, a Tory) and the usual denials and downplaying by police and officials.

Telford's 1,000 victims were revealed when the lid was lifted in that town. Senior police elsewhere have assured the public that anyone who denies this is happening across the country is not looking hard enough. Whistleblower and ex-police officer Jon Wedger predicts that the lid will be lifted soon on child sexual abuse in London.

What are the causes of the Muslim grooming and abuse?

Firstly, let's look at the common factors in these crimes across England:

- Almost all the abusers have Muslim names and a heritage from Muslim countries.
- Their victims are non-Muslims. Most are English, but Sikh girls have also been victims – since 1988.

Secondly, there is a straight line that can be drawn from the Koran through to the behaviour of the groomers, abusers and rapists of English women and girls.

That straight line begins in the Koran, where Muslims are told they are the best and that non-believers are worthless (or worse):

- *You are the best of peoples ever raised up for mankind. (Koran 3:110)*
- *Jews and Christians are the worst of creatures. (Koran 98:6)*
- *Allah has no love for unbelievers. (Koran 3:32)*

The leader of the 2012 Rochdale gang, Shabir Ahmed, declared to the trial court: "We are a civilised society. We are the supreme race".

Thirdly, the straight line continues through the misogyny promoted and practised in mosques in Britain. Muslim women are exhorted to be moral and pure. Imams contrast a pure Muslim woman with English women whose dress, they say, is immodest, whose independence they cannot tolerate and whose behaviour they condemn as licentious. We know that in the Trojan Horse schools, 2014-2015, children as young as six were told that Western women are "white prostitutes".

So, we can see that Muslims are primed to think the worst of non-Muslim girls.

In 2013 Shaykh Alyas Karmani wrote a sermon (khutba) to be read in all mosques in the UK explaining that Muslim participation in sexual abuse of non-Muslims is a crime forbidden in Islam. In only a small minority of mosques was the sermon read. This was probably because most imams know that this abuse is not a crime Islam forbids, but, on the contrary, it is behaviour Islam condones in its references to the treatment of non-Muslim sex slaves.

Fourthly, the straight line continues from Islamic theology into UK Muslim culture. It goes through to the life experiences of Muslim men in the UK. They are faced with Western society that defies Allah's claim that they are the best of peoples. Muslim cab drivers ferry around people who they are taught are their inferiors. Muslims serve kebabs to English girls knowing that these girls should be their sex slaves. English girls enjoy themselves in ways "good" Muslim girls can't – and yet they are not punished. Muslim men are bitter and resentful. They believe Allah blesses their punishment of "immoral" English girls. They are motivated to set the world right, to take out their resentment on, for example, easily available kuffar (= infidel) girls.

Judge Gerald Clifton, summing up the notorious 2012 Rochdale trial said:

"All of you treated them as though they were worthless and beyond any respect. One of the factors leading to that was the fact that they were not part of your community or religion."

The failures of the establishment that enabled these outrages

By "the establishment" we mean government, politicians, media, churches and the bulk of opinion formers, decision-makers and influence-holders in civil society who enabled past outrages and are – as you read this – enabling the next ones:

It is a situation which defies belief. These were public servants, who would not talk about child rape and prostitution, which they knew had been going on for years. They were not the rapists. They were not being paid by the criminals. But political correctness and multiculturalism had left them too scared to speak about these horrific crimes. No wonder these grooming gangs felt untouchable ... The tyranny of political correctness meant that they did not dare articulate or even acknowledge what they were seeing and hearing. ["Easy Meat", page 41]

Let's look at the police. The police deliberately gave the Muslim abusers of English girls across Britain the nod in 2005 that they'd be spared investigation. In *The Betrayed Girls* (BBC, July 2017) the narrator describes how the 2005 London Transport bombings stimulated "Islamophobia" which "the authorities" were determined to hose down because of its effect on community cohesion. Pulling back from Muslim Child Sexual Exploitation investigations was one thing the police could do to ease pressure on the Muslim community across Britain. The documentary does not reveal whose bright idea this was.

The police were the same across the country:

- West Midlands Police is a force that admitted in 2015 to burying a report on the sexual exploitation of over 100 girls to avoid the issue influencing the 2010 General Election. Yes: an English Police Force went along with the continuing criminal abuse of English girls on their beat.
- In Rotherham, there are over 50 investigations into alleged police misconduct linked to child sex crimes – in that one town.
- All over England police forces have admitted they made serious mistakes in the way they responded to the rape jihad. But they are not admitting it openly; they have been using business jargon to tell us they have "upped their game", "improved their responsiveness", "given it our highest priority", "learned lessons" and also grudgingly given bureaucratic non-apologies to the hundreds of girls who were abused while the police knowingly looked the other way. These non-apologies were crafted to avoid massive litigation, not to satisfy the victims. In Newcastle, Chief Constable Steve Cashman was reported in *The Sun* as saying, lamely, that "chances were missed to nail members of the gang earlier".

Let's look at the media. In 2004 Nick Griffin exposed on BBC television all that needed to be known to justify a full-scale investigation into this grooming/rape jihad scandal.

<https://youtu.be/6uyU3dfd3pE>

Listen to the BBC interviewer Gavin Eslar in that video: Eslar does his best to ensure, in effect, that the rape jihad can continue without official interference. Nick Griffin, of course, was leader of the British National Party (a party explicitly critical of the EDL since our inception) and the establishment saw the BNP under Griffin as a threat. He could indeed have been a threat. So West Yorkshire Police and the Crown Prosecution Service disrupted his life and political activity for two years.

The failure of the Muslim community to accept ownership of the outrages

We know that that the causes of the rape jihad lie in Islam – both Islamic theology and Muslim culture. Sadly most Muslims not only refuse to accept the substance of that proposition, but contrive victimhood and offence when it is even raised for consideration. The activists demand that there be no free speech or discussion of the issue. Any discussion they disagree with they label "racist" or "Islamophobic" – two terms used by Muslims and Cultural Marxists alike to silence those who don't have the stomach for

confrontation, criticism. That's where we come in: the English Defence League tells it like it is. The English Defence League stands firm.

The Muslim response to the 2017 Newcastle trials

Newcastle Muslims tried to divert attention from the Muslim identity of the abusers by bringing in a racist slant. Their spokesman set up a division between all BME (Black and Middle Eastern) people and English people – as if the popular concern about Muslim sex abuse was an expression of white racism against all non-whites.

The same spokesman set up another straw man: that non-Muslims were demanding that Muslims apologise for the abuse. But we, for one, were not asking for words. Words are cheap. We wanted action: action to end the sexual abuse by Muslim men and the cover-up of the abuse.

The Muslim response to the 2012 Rochdale case

In the BBC's *The Betrayed Girls* documentary Ann Cryer (ex-MP) told us that when Rochdale Muslim elders were confronted about the Muslim gangs, they said "It's got nothing to do with us. End of story."

Mohammed Shafiq of the Ramadan Foundation said "I was told I was bringing the community down by talking about these issues, and they didn't want me to talk about this or raise these issues."

The Betrayed Girls did not include: Shabir Ahmed, the gang leader, telling the court that he often prayed to Allah, and blaming the white community for allowing teenage girls to go around unsupervised, so that at a young age they were "trained" in both sex and drinking.

We should not become absorbed by the well-publicised 2012 Rochdale trial or the terrible Rotherham scandal, as there have been convictions of Muslims for sexual abuse of English women and girls in Crown Court trials almost weekly since 2014. Indeed, across England there have been over 170 such trials reported in the media.

<http://www.englishdefenceleague.org.uk/islam/grooming-gangs/>

It's just a few bad apples ... not all Muslims

Keighley: After the 2016 Keighley trial of 18 Muslims, Councillor Zafar Ali, Keighley Central, said:

"there are bad apples, but it doesn't mean to say everyone is bad. There are a few who unfortunately have got the wrong end of the stick, but that's not Muslim [due to the teachings of Islam] ... There are a few people who lose themselves."

Well, on the basis of 2011 census data, one in every 250 Keighley Muslim men of working age was in the dock for the one 2016 rape case!

Rotherham: The Times reported that the National Crime Agency's Operation Stovewood has identified more than 300 suspects. According to the 2011 census, there were around 3,000 Muslim men of working age in Rotherham. If 300 of these are suspects, that's one in every ten Rotherham Muslim men of working age who are police suspects.

The culpability of the Muslim community in its shielding of child abusers

The weak response to child sexual grooming by Muslim men has been covered up – The media have reported on the cover-up across England by police, local authorities and even MPs. But this energetic media witch-hunt, anger and outrage is displacement activity. It's a red herring to draw attention from the bigger cover-up: the cover-up of the abuse itself by the Muslim community.

The Muslim community's cover up of their child abusers – Far more of the 300 Rotherham suspects would have been tried successfully years ago if the Muslim community was not stonewalling. Only in Rotherham has there been a takeover of these cases by the National Crime Agency (Operation Stovewood), so only in Rotherham have the dimensions of the criminal activity been made public. There is still a Muslim wall of silence. Those with inside information have been persuaded, intimidated or accustomed into protecting the criminals, actively or passively – in Telford and elsewhere as well as Rotherham. Their cover-up holds firm to this day.

What does the cover-up look like? – Because of the scale and duration of the abuse, and the arrogant and boastful characters of the abusers, the abusers' parents, siblings, imams, workmates, business associates, community leaders, aunts, uncles and other acquaintances (including their wives and children) must have been aware of it. Andrew Norfolk of the Times told us in *The Betrayed Girls*

“In one tiny town it was even a generational thing there where they had fathers who had been doing this to the mothers of young girls who were now being groomed and abused by these men's sons.”

All these Muslims clammed up. At the very least, they asked no questions and looked the other way to protect their own.

The mosques and imams are knowingly and deliberately ineffectual – Bishop Gavin Ashenden said recently:

We haven't had a single example of a mosque or an imam withdrawing “Islamic membership” from any of these paedophiles, any of these rapists, any of the murderers, any of the bombers. No one within the Muslim community has ever said “You are not Muslims; you're banned, you're excommunicated; you're restricted,” in the way we would in Christian terms – and in terms of sexual abuse already have in Christianity.

So [Lord] Pearson is saying “Look, if the Muslims are not disowning these people, is this Islam?” How can we talk about this? And, as he said, “We need to talk about Islam”, he was booed in the House of Lords; people booed him.

And then Pearson said, “We have to be able to talk about Islam without being accused of hate crime.”

The damage from the Muslim community cover-up – If there was no Muslim wall of silence, there would never – *could* never – have been 1,500 child sexual abuse victims in Rotherham. And 1,485 victims would not still be waiting for investigations of their abusers in the town to be completed.

Read the harrowing victims' accounts of abuse given at Newcastle Crown Court in August. Read of the abuse inflicted on the girls in Rotherham. And then ponder the young English lives broken, lasting PTSD, dreams of happy motherhood stolen away. All this has been made possible by the Muslim community cover-up.

“What British Muslims Really Think” – In his 2016 Channel 4 program, Trevor Phillips told us that 39% of British Muslims believe wives should obey their husbands – so Muslim women are not inclined to expose their criminal menfolk, even for grooming and sexual abuse of English girls.

What must be done

Much needs to be done about Islam and about preventing Muslims' sexual abuse of English girls. The EDL has been pushing for public inquiries since the Jay report was published exposing the Rotherham scandal.

Nothing less than two top-level public inquiries – Our nation and our future generations deserve two thorough national inquiries, with powers to compel witnesses including those retired, even those overseas:

- One, into the cover-up of that abuse – by other Muslims as well as by government officials,
- Another into what motivates Muslim men to abuse English girls on an extraordinary scale.
 - This is not the EDL's own idea. It comes straight from the Serious Case Review of the 2012 Oxford grooming gang investigation:

“With a significant proportion of those found guilty nationally of group CSE being from a Pakistani and/or Muslim heritage, relevant government departments should research why this is the case, in order to guide prevention strategies.”

Why has this recommendation not been acted upon? Another cover-up!

What else can be done beyond inquiries?

We all want action now! Enough faffing around! – The inquiries we call for will point to action that can begin before the inquiries run their full course. Over the longer term our politicians and opinion formers in government, civic affairs, business, religion and administration must develop a national anti-sharia strategy to make our country impregnable to sharia. This will **prevent** the abuse, not catch and punish abusers after they have begun their cruel damage. We outline an anti-sharia strategy here:

<http://www.englishdefenceleague.org.uk/990-2/>

There is something we all can do too: circulate the information in this document. We have good arguments and powerful facts. The Left hate the English working class and that's why they call us “knuckle-draggers” and worse. They fear the information we provide so they abuse us – hoping their abuse of us as people will discredit our position.

=====

NOTE: Since 2009, the English Defence League has held regional and national demonstrations in England's hot-spots of Islamification, Muslim child sexual abuse and “no-go areas” for non-Muslims. These demonstrations give heart to English people who, until the Brexit referendum, felt ignored and who have been intimidated into not expressing their deep fears for this nation's future and the society in which their children will grow up. Our last demonstration was on 12 May 2018 in Telford.

=====

EDL media channels:

EDL website: <http://www.englishdefenceleague.org.uk>

EDL mission statement: <http://www.englishdefenceleague.org.uk/mission-statement/>

EDL statement on the Manchester bombing:

<http://www.englishdefenceleague.org.uk/edl-statement-on-the-attack-at-manchester-arena-a-plea-for-change/>

EDL proposal to protect England from Islam and sharia:

<http://www.englishdefenceleague.org.uk/990-2/>

EDL - Proud of English culture

<http://www.englishdefenceleague.org.uk/we-are-proud-of-english-culture/>

EDL anti-sharia strategy: <http://www.englishdefenceleague.org.uk/990-2/>

EDL national Facebook page:

<https://www.facebook.com/OfficialEnglishDefenceLeague/>

EDL event page for our Worcester demonstration:

<https://www.facebook.com/events/2105560693045300/>

[EDL Twitter was purged in December 2017. See: <http://tinyurl.com/y8sbuvl2>]

EDL Gab: replaced our Twitter account: <https://gab.ai/EDLmedia>

EDL Radio: <http://www.blogtalkradio.com/the-english-defence-league-show>

EDL Tumblr: <http://englishdefenceleague.tumblr.com>

=====